The balance sheet for the years 1952-53 and 1953-54 therefore show capital according to the revised formula suggested by the Accountant-General. On this basis, the capital investment in the department from year to year is as below :—

	Rs.
1948-49 ...	17,76,515
1949-50 ...	21,77,242
1950-51 ...	25,68,600
1951-52 ...	27,03,928
1952-53 ...	25,41,640
1953-54 ...	21,42,230

Rs. 21,42,229 *minus* Rs. 11,429-8-0 being audit charges included only in the year 1953-54 under *pro forma* adjustment at the instance of the Accountant-General is equal to Rs. 21,30,801.

It will, therefore, be seen that the figures furnished in reply to the question for the capital investment at the end of 1953-54 is correct and has been certified as such in the relevant balance sheet.

It has to be mentioned, however, that the assets of the Department have been acquired not only by debit to Capital but by debit to the Depeciation Reserve Fund. The assets of the Department as it stood from year to year are given below :

	Rs.
1948-49 ...	17,91,703
1949-50 ...	22,48,824
1950-51 ...	26,29,322
1951-52 ...	34,35,624
1952-53 ...	33,33,629
1953-54 ...	47,71,940

The reason for the value of the assets being very much more than the amount of capital shown as invested is that assets have been acquired out of both capital and depreciation Reserve Fund. The contributions to Depreciation Fund from year to year are given below :

	Rs.
1948-49 ...	1,69,472
1949-50 ...	3,25,526
1950-51 ...	6,32,846
1951-52 ...	8,05,156
1952-53 ...	6,76,158
1953-54 ...	4,50,875

The amount at the credit of the Depreciation Reserve Fund as at the end of 1953-54 is Rs. 23,50,667-3-1.

I have also got the provisional latest figure regarding 1954-55 which I think, Sir, is not necessary under the circumstances of the case since it requires clarification . . . .

Sri L. SIDDAPPA (Channagiri).—If you have them with you, you may give them also.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—The provisional figures . . . . (looking into the file) now the year is just over . . . the figures that I have are just provisional but they also compare very well. That is all I can say.

Business of the House.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldrug).—Sir, according to the revised programme of the House, Wednesday the 13th, *i.e.*, today, is meant for official business, *i.e.*, Bills. And, the remaining days are meant for consideration of reports and other things. I would like to know if the Government who have placed so many Bills before the House are contemplating any change of programme and if so in what way and whether the session is going to be extended and when the Bills are going to be taken up.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It will be intimated.

(Sri H. M. Channabasappa was sitting along with Sri G. A. Thimmappa Gowda and talking)

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—Sir, is this kind of affectionate relationship allowed ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Periyapatna).—I am glad he has recognised the affection we have.

(*Laughter*).

MYSORE UNIVERSITY BILL, 1955.

Introduction.

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—Sir, I beg to introduce the Mysore University Bill, 1955.